

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE <div style="text-align: center;">J</div>		PAGE OF PAGES <div style="text-align: center;">1   15</div>	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. <div style="text-align: center;">0002</div>		3. EFFECTIVE DATE <div style="text-align: center;">29-Dec-2004</div>		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. <div style="text-align: center;">W16ROE-4306-5043</div>		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY USA ENGINEER DISTRICT, NEW YORK ATTN:CENAN-CT ROOM 1843 26 FEDERAL PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10278		CODE <div style="text-align: center;">W912DS</div>		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">See Item 6</div>			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W912DS-05-B-0003			
				X 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 01-Dec-2004			
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.			
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)			
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The solicitation is amended as follows: 1. To provide changes to the plans and specifications attached herein; 2. To provide the questions, submitted on 13 Dec 04, from the Dredging Industry Air Workshop, and the corresponding answers; 3. To attach the attendance sheet from the Dredging Industry Air Workshop; 4. To attach supplemental current Wage Rate Determinations.  The bid opening date remains unchanged for 04 Jan 05 at 1300 hours local time.  NOTE: OFFERORS MUST ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS AMENDMENT BY THE DATE SPECIFIED IN THE SOLICITATION (OR AS AMENDED) BY ONE OF THE FOLLOWING METHODS: IN THE SPACE PROVIDED ON THE SF1442, BY SEPARATE LETTER, OR BY TELEGRAM, OR BY SIGNING BLOCK 15 BELOW. FAILURE TO ACKNOWLEDGE AMENDMENTS BY THE DATE AND TIME SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR BID IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATE BID, LATE MODIFICATIONS OF BIDS OR LATE WITHDRAWAL OF BIDS (FAR14.304).  Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED  29-Dec-2004	

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

## SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0002 - INFO

1. The following changes shall be made to the specifications and plans.

**1) Specifications:****Section 00010**

Page 3 of 71, item 0001; Delete "NET AMT". This block is a title only for the base bid items.

**Section 00800**

Replace 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence of section 00800 1.1 e page 40 of 71 with the revised sentence below:

"All vessel traffic will be prohibited from entering the area where dredging, drilling, blasting or rock removal is being conducted except as detailed in 02900-5.5.1 and its sub-paragraphs."

Section 00900

Added wage rates from NJ State as supplement to the NY State Wage Rates as provided in amendment 1.

**Section 01270**

Paragraph 1.2.4 Section 1270 page 3; replace 0001AF with 0001AG.

**Section 02900**

1-Correction in paragraph 6.1 section 02900 page 13, replace "item numbers 1 through 5" with "item number 7".

2-Delete paragraph 6.10, section 02900 page 16 in its entirety.

**2) DRAWINGS:**

1-The following drawing, have been amended:

Drawing: C-4 and C-13

### **3) Questions and Answers**

The New York District has received questions about the contract.

The following questions and answers are provided for information only. Nothing contained below amends or revises any provision of the solicitation.

Also provided below is the Memorandum for Record; Dredging Industry Air Conformity Workshop and S-KVK-2 Emission Calculator Introduction, December 13<sup>th</sup>, 2004, 10a.m. – noon 290 Broadway, EPA conference room 27A

#### **1-Questions:**

AS in previous contracts on KVK, survey specifications have referenced EM – 1110-2-1003, HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEYING, 1 January 2002 for Class I acoustic sweep system. Is the intent to reference this specification that is silent on the matrix of multi beam presentation? Or is the intent to incorporate change 1 of 1 April 2004 that clearly designates the required multi beam presentation?

Answers: Changes were made to Paragraph 1.15 and relate sections, See Amendment # 1.

#### **2- Question:**

In Section 01135 of the referenced solicitation, 1.3 NOx Emissions Limit, yearly totals were assigned for calendar years 2005, 2006, 2007. Sub paragraph 1.3 a states “the Contractor is limited to the following allowable NOx emissions per calendar year unless the Contractor is able to obtain additional Air Quality Units at its’ own expense.” It is our understanding that credits cannot be bought and sold at present in NY and NJ due to regulatory problems. We understand that offsets can be available subject to the approval of the RAT. In the event that the limit is reached in any one year, does work cease for the year? If it is the last year of the contract, will the contract be abrogated? If a prolonged shoaling close out saga occurs, will the contractor be terminated when the limit is reached?

Answers: Contractor should refer to the specifications Section 01135 paragraph 1.3. In the event that allowable delays occur the Government may give due consideration to accommodations for the Contractor.

#### **3-Question:**

Section 00800 Clause 1.1.e reads, “All vessel traffic will be prohibited from entering the area where dredging, drilling, blasting, or rock removal is being conducted.” Section 02900 Clause 5.5.1.2 reads “acceptance areas 1, 2, and 3a will remain open to traffic, including during rock removal operations”. Please clarify.

Answers: sentence revised to read “All vessel traffic will be prohibited from entering the area where dredging, drilling, blasting or rock removal is being conducted except as detailed in 02900-5.5.1 and its sub-paragraphs” in this amendment.

#### **4-Question:**

Section 02900 Clause 5.5.2.2.b. reads: “when the contractor is working in acceptance areas 3b, 4, 5, 6, or 7 and the Contractor is prohibited from working its current acceptance area dredging operations shall move to an adjacent acceptance area immediately to the south of the current acceptance area”. Immediately to the south of 3b, 4, and 5 is acceptance area 9 which is not to be started until completion of 3b, 4, 5, 6, and 7. Please clarify.

Answers: The final sentence of 02900-5.5.2.2.2.a clarifies that 02900-5.5.2.2.2.b is an exception.

5-Question:

Section 02900 Clause 6.10 states: "Hard material shall be placed in scows and transported to the upland disposal site or disposal facility designated by the Government." We assume that no hard material is meant to be taken to an upland site and this is an error in the specification.

Answers: the paragraph 6.10 section 02900 is deleted by this amendment.

6-Question:

What is meant by the "net amt" underline next to line item 0001 Construct Navigation Channel on the Contract Bid Form?

Answers: 0001 is the title block for the base bid and it does not have any unit price or units, Therefore "net amt" is deleted by this amendment.

7-Question:

Optional Bid Item 0004 calls for disposal of dredge material at the 'new artificial reef' placement site. The specifications give no indication as to why this disposal area would be in use. Under what conditions would this site be used for disposal?

Answers: Item 0004 is an optional item with 3500 CY of rock only. The Government is negotiating possible beneficial use of dredged material with the States. Should these negotiations have a positive conclusion the option may be awarded. Contractors should refer to Section 02900-paragraph 7.2.4 sub-para. 2), page 18, for the location of the reef and plan sheets C-31 and C-32,

8-Question:

Bid Item 0001AG covers the dredging and disposal of material at either the HARS or at the Artificial Reef. There is approximately a 30 nautical mile round trip difference between the two sites. This distance differential will produce a correspondingly large cost differential in the unit price for dredging and transportation to either site. Thus, accurately defining how material will be designated for disposal at either site is critical. We understand that if a scow contains more than 75% rock it is to be disposed of at the Reef, if less than 75% rock then it goes to the HARS. How is this 75% measured? Is it visually measured? Does the Disposal Inspector determine the make-up of each scow? Is it the contractor's determination? Please clarify.

Answers: Contractor should refer to section 02900 Paragraphs: 4, 5.9, 5.11, 7.2, 7.2.1, 7.2.4, 7.4 and 7.5.

9-Question:

The Government has 120 days to award this project following the bid date and 725 days are permitted for contract completion following Notice To Proceed. When is the expected Notice To Proceed to be given for this project? The government provides only 90tons of allowable Air Quality Units for 2007. Depending on when the NTP is given, it is possible that a contractor could be working 5 or 6 months into 2007 and the 90tons may not be enough, thereby requiring the contractor to obtain additional Air Quality units at its own cost. Please confirm that this is the intention of the specification.

Answers: In the event that allowable delays occur the Government may give due consideration to accommodations for the Contractor.

## **USACE-New York District**

### **Memorandum for Record**

#### **Dredging Industry Air Conformity Workshop and S-KVK-2 Emission Calculator Introduction**

**December 13<sup>th</sup>, 2004, 10a.m. - noon**

**290 Broadway, EPA conference room 27A**

### **I. Introduction:**

Tom Shea, Project Manager from the Corps. *Shea introduced the USACE-NYD and Port Authority (PA) team members to the dredging community; names of representatives are on the attendee list (see attached).*

*Steve Dorrlor, of the Port Authority:* Dorrlor stated that the Port is 100% behind the air mitigation efforts developed in the HAMP (Harbor Air Management Plan)..

*Steve Weinberg Project Engineer of the Corps:* Weinberg described the project, displaying maps of the S-KVK-2 contract area. Weinberg reviews the contract reach history. The channel is being deepened to allow for newer and larger container ships. Over 2.5 million cubic yards (cy) of material will be dredged for this contract. Of the 2.5 million cy, 140,000 cy are unsuitable for ocean placement. 3,500 cubic yard +/- of rock may be used at a new artificial reef. The balance will go to HARS or the Axel Carlson Reef. Dredge materials include: silt, till, clay and rock. The duration of the contract is approximately ~2 years.

The Port purchased air quality units (AQU) for use in achieving conformity for this deepening project. The availability of air quality units is one of the factors that drive the dredging schedule. There are limits to the amount of emissions that can be emitted for each year of the project. In 2005, the limit is set at 195 tons, in 2006 the limit is 240 tons, and in 2007 the limit is 90 tons. The limits/tons allocated are based on the likely dredging schedule and on available air quality units. Emission data will need to be submitted on a monthly basis. In-service measurements will validate future contracts.

### **II. Air Emission Limits and General Conformity Background**

*Bruce Anderson, Starcrest.*

Anderson reviewed the basics of General Conformity (GC). GC came out of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments and was promulgated in 1994. GC is designed to ensure that the federal government does not take actions that would interfere with a state's air quality plans. Examples of a federal action include issuing a permit, building a new facility or; fully/partially funding a project. Although the Clean Air Act is a federal regulation, each state is in charge of developing implementation plans to ensure clean air act compliance, these plans are called SIPs (State Implementation Plans) for non-attainment areas. Air quality standards are called National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). The NAAQS are set by the federal government and the states are responsible for meeting them. It is the responsibility of the Federal Government to ensure that its actions do not derail SIPs.

There are two types of conformity, General Conformity and Transportation Conformity. Transportation Conformity applies to federally funded projects affecting on-road vehicles (e.g., highway projects/Federal Highway Administration funded). General Conformity applies to everything else, including the nonroad mobile sources used on this project. This project falls within the New York- New Jersey- Long Island Non-attainment Area (NYNJLINA). The areas of concern are the counties listed in the definition of the non-attainment area out to three nautical miles off the coast. This project is expected to trip the oxides of

Nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) trigger levels for the non-attainment pollutant ozone (NO<sub>x</sub> is a precursor pollutant for ozone formation.) The GC trigger levels for ozone precursors for the NYNJLINA are 25 tons per year (tpy) for NO<sub>x</sub> and/or 25 tpy for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs).

In 2001, the Corps needed to demonstrate how the Federal Action would meet General Conformity for the record of decision (ROD) to be signed. The Regional Air Team (RAT), an interagency team, was then formed to help the Corps and Port Authority achieve conformity for this project. The RAT consists of representatives from the Corps, Port, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP), New Jersey Department of Transportation (NJDOT) and New York City Department of Transportation (NYCDOT).

### **III Emission Calculator Instructions and Features**

Joe Ray, Starcrest.

Mr. Ray reviewed the emission summary sheet, instruction and input forms for the calculator. For dredging – all engine types, over 25 horsepower, will be tracked. Dredge information in the calculator will include: engine type/horsepower/annual hours of operation/and emission control technology effectiveness (if any). Additional information, such as detailed operating hours records and fuel consumption rates, will be recorded by the dredger and submitted to the Corps on log sheets that have been developed for this purpose. Dredge transit emissions will be included from the dredge site to the 3-mile boundary. The potential dredging emissions are capped at limits mentioned above.

Two types of data sheets will be submitted monthly: Dredges/associated engines and vessel engines. A version of the calculator will be submitted monthly, updating each submittal with current year-to-date operating hour information. The estimated number hours of use on a per year basis should also be included.

Calculator: Simplified tier levels (i.e. there is a pick list where you can choose standard engines or tier 2 off-road or marine engines). Engine tiers are based on EPA tiers. Example: a standard engine may get a rating of 9.7 tons, a tier I may be rated at 6.9 tons, and a tier II may rate even better.

The spreadsheet calculations involve horsepower and load factor assumptions. The in-use testing will help determine if appropriate load factors and emission factors were initially used in the calculations. Adjustments will be made to future contracts but not to the current contract. Future emission estimates will be improved based on the information gleaned from calculator and data log submissions and limited source testing associated with this first contract, S-KVK-2.

The testing will consist of a service test that will take 3-7 days. The test will be coordinated upfront with the selected bidder so that tests can be set up during scheduled downtimes. RAT members may wish to observe. Other dredging projects are upcoming, so consider using emission control technologies/strategies in the future. Control technologies should be certified by CARB/EPA, but the RAT will review non-certified technologies such as SCRs on a case-by-case basis. One example of an alternative strategy is replacing older engines with newer/lower-emission engines. The Port tug repower program produced significant NO<sub>x</sub> reductions. The Port looked into electrification but it proved too expensive. Other areas came up with operational strategies such as phasing. Retrofit technologies should be verified. If the technology isn't verified, the technology/air compliance strategy should be submitted to the RAT with supporting data/information. The RAT/EPA will work on trying to get new effective technologies approved for use on this project (i.e. If xy dredger already has a dredge with an SCR, then xy dredger will need RAT approval to use the SCR dredge for this project). On the Corps website is the comprehensive initial findings report with lists of potential ideas. Control technologies used can be inputted into the spreadsheet in the top left corner.

The Corps is insisting that for the first contract the dredgers use a consultant.

#### IV Question/Answer Period

NYD/Starcrest

Q1. Will existing contracts (i.e. AKI, AKII, PJII) be subject to these conformance requirements?

A1. No.

Q2. Why are the NOx limits in 2005 lower than in 2006?

A2. Because 2006 is a full year's worth of work, whereas 2005 is a partial year as NTP will not be until after January 1<sup>st</sup>.

Q3. Question about definition of engine/tiers/tier levels.

A3. See first tab of the calculator – *see below*:

**Nonroad engines** - on dredges and barges or used on land

**Marine engines** - propulsion and auxiliary engines on vessels such as towboats and crewboats

Standard nonroad engine Engines manufactured before 1996.

Tier 1 nonroad engine Engines manufactured after 1996 (but before TIER 2).

Tier 2 nonroad engine See **implementation schedule** below

Tier 3 nonroad engine See **implementation schedule** below

Standard marine engine Marine engines manufactured before 2007

TIER 2 marine engine Marine engines manufactured in 2007 or later

#### **Implementation Schedule for Nonroad Engines**

<b>If engine is in this horsepower range</b>	<b>and this model year or later</b>	<b>then the engine is a</b>	<b>unless the engine is in this model year or later</b>	
300 - 600	2001	Tier 2	2006	Tier 3
600 - 750	2002	Tier 2	2006	Tier 3
100 - 175	2003	Tier 2	2007	Tier 3
175 - 300	2003	Tier 2	2006	Tier 3
25 - 100	2004	Tier 2	2008	Tier 3
> 750	2006	Tier 2	(no Tier 3 standard for >750 hp engines)	

Q4. At what point in the calculator review process will the corps award the contract? Will the calculator be reviewed prior or post award?

A4. Prior, please see 01135-1.4 of the Specifications

Q5. Can the Corps reject an apparent low bidder based on unreasonably high production rates being used to stay within the annual limit? E.g. if 100 hours are put as the number of hours when it is clear to everyone involved that the project will not be dredged in 100 hours?

A5. Yes. The Corps has done similar reviews in the past, when reviewing production rates and responsibility determinations as it relates to the Contractor's ability to maintain schedule.

Q6a. When a contractor exhausts the annual budget and updated forecasts demonstrate it is clear that they

won't be able to finish the project within the last year's budget will the Corps allocate more credits to the subsequent years to facilitate project completion?

A6a. When a dredger exhausts the budget, he/she must stop work or find other air quality units. Part of the reason why submittals are monthly is to work with the dredger ahead of time to ensure this scenario is avoided before it happens.

Q6b. Presuming the answer to 6a is no, doesn't the total budget of emission provided a "not to exceed" number of dredge days, effectively removing the contractor's requirements to finish the job?

A6b. No, the contractor is expected to live within the terms of the contract and the law

Q6c. Would a contractor who is stopped be charged LDs?

A6c. Yes. This is no different than if a contractor is stopped because he's run into an environmental window, or a legal violation.

Q7a. Why not provide a financial incentive to contractors to reduce emissions, thereby addressing the problem at the source rather than allowing the dredges to emit and reduce other area sources?

A7a. When producing the Harbor Air Management Plan (HAMP), reducing other mobile source emissions (ferries) proved to be the most cost-effective and provided long-term air quality benefits to the region. Even if every emission reduction technology were used on the sources of emission for this project, the trigger level would still be triggered. In any scenario air quality units would be needed.

Q7b. If other large scale deepening projects are requiring electric dredges why not New York?

A7b. The Corps is not requiring electrification for this project, but if a contractor can use an electric dredge and find a power source to make this feasible within safety requirements, the RAT will review the proposal.

Q8. If a Selective Catalytic Reductor (SCR) is used, how will the Corps ensure that it is operated and achieves the actual reductions in daily practice that it did on the day of the source test?

A8. The SCR, being an unverified technology, would have to be approved by the RAT. Such approval would also include monitoring

Q9. For towboats, the reported and data logged hours will just be inside the limit?

A9. As long as the duty cycle is representative, the Corps will not be overly concerned where the towboats are while data is being logged. It is, though, important that the emissions themselves only count toward the contract cap within the nonattainment area. If actual emissions are higher than estimates, the RAT will not penalize for the 1<sup>st</sup> contract but lessons learned will apply to future contracts.

Q10. What is the status of the ferry SCRs?

A10. The Port reported that the Alice Austen is installed with an SCR and validation is coming up in February 2005. The noble is next on the schedule in 2005 and then in 2007 the Barberis come online.

The Newhouse may move up in the schedule to early '05. Pre-installation testing of the Alice Austen showed its emissions to be 85% of what was originally anticipated. As the Austen and Noble are smaller ferries that are only occasionally used they do not provide as many air quality units. Baseline monitoring of the ferries is complete. Air quality units were originally based on the inventory numbers but have been updated with the baseline monitoring data. There are other air quality units such as the KVK 5 tugs and Proctor & Gamble shutdown credits.

Q11. Group is shown an example with plug-ins.



Q12. Request to show the calculations Corps used to come up with the annual budgets for S-KVK-2

A12. The Corps cannot share the calculations as they relate to the Government Estimate.

Q13a. Is there a database of emission offset suppliers?

A13a. The Corps does not know of any air quality units or credit source other than the Port. If a dredger finds other air quality units, the RAT will need to approve these air quality units.

Q13b. Is the contractor responsible for supplying all the testing equipment and data loggers?

A13b. No

Q14. What if contractors buy clean buses?

A14. If the buses were not otherwise required & the reduction is measurable, it may be considered by the RAT. The Port noted that there are also requirements that repowered vehicles stay in the non-attainment area. They have a penalty clause in their tug repowering contracts that require the repowered tug spend 90% of its time in the non-attainment area.

Q15. The spec discusses the data loggers in terms of the dredge, but the discussions have also discussed towboats. Is there a possibility of the data loggers being required on non-dredge equipment?

A15. The monitoring efforts will be focused on the dredges. The Corps will coordinate with the RAT if additional equipment needs testing.

Q14. Bid opening is 4 January 05. How fast can the RAT review and approve technology?

A14. The RAT will provide a response to submittals within a fourteen day period.

Q15. How will the information from the data loggers be used?

A15. To make adjustments in the calculator for future contracts, in particular, to evaluate emission factor and load factor assumptions.

Q16. Can bidders get the backup from the EPA the data used to generate the limits?

A16. The limits were generated by the Corps and its A/Es. The background was published in the HAMP.

Q17. Repeat of question on data loggers

A17. see previous answers

Q18. If NTP is delayed what happens to the last year's air budget?

A18. Last year's budget may expire as the air quality units do not roll over from one year to another, but if the NTP is delayed beyond what is accounted for in the calculations then a new version of the calculator and limits will be delivered to the dredgers. Furthermore, in the event that allowable delays occur the Government may give due consideration to accommodation for the Contractor.

Q19. Can we provide operational information on PuriNOx?

A19. Discussed meeting last year between PuriNOx's reps and the dredging community. Suggest bidders contact representatives directly: Bill Coughlin, Refining & Supply Sunoco, Inc, 215-977-6824 office, [wecoughlin@sunocoinc.com](mailto:wecoughlin@sunocoinc.com)

The Corps had proposed a dredge test of PuriNOx in FedBizOps but did not get receive responses.

Q20. Will credits carryover between years?

A20. The answer is "no" they cannot carryover, but technically they are "air quality units" not "credits". Credits can be sold whereas air quality units are project-specific.

Q21. Could the use of air air quality units on this contract impact the execution of other contracts?

A21. Lessons learned, and performance monitoring on this contract, will be applied to others. There is a limited pool of air quality units at this time, and potentially changes to this contract's budget could affect other contracts.

Q22. Why do we require a bidder to have an A/E? The calculator looks pretty straightforward.

A22. This is a new requirement, and it may be more complicated that it appears at first blush. Also, many contractors have modified their engines and this could impact both their horsepower and their tier. Finally, the A/E is not required to fill out the forms, but to certify their accuracy.

The air quality A/E may be able to help read the "Tier level" table in the instructions tab, and could certify that the calculator was filled out with the information provided by the dredger. It would probably take a different A/E to evaluate the effect on horsepower of engine modifications. And, it's important to note that only the unregulated engines should have been modified. It's against Federal law to modify ("tamper" with) an emission-controlled engine in any significant way. .

Q23. Since use of PuriNOx may reduce productivity, would a contractor receive more emissions budget to reflect the additional hours required?

A23. No, the Corps is not requiring that the contractors use PuriNOx,.

Q24. Can actual testing data be used to reduce emissions of specific equipment in the calculator?

A24. The intent is to go to the RAT if new emission control technology was proposed, This would be a situation that could be handled as an emission reduction measure with the percent reduction entered in the reduction column.

December 13<sup>th</sup>, 2004**Dredging Industry Air Workshop Attendees**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Agency/Company</b>	<b>Email</b>
Steven Weinberg	USACE-EN	<a href="mailto:Steven.Weinberg@usace.army.mil">Steven.Weinberg@usace.army.mil</a>
Tom Shea	USACE-PPMD	<a href="mailto:Thomas.shea@usace.army.mil">Thomas.shea@usace.army.mil</a>
Bruce Anderson	Starcrest	<a href="mailto:andersob@starcrestllc.com">andersob@starcrestllc.com</a>
Joe Ray	Starcrest	<a href="mailto:jray@starcrestllc.com">jray@starcrestllc.com</a>
Gregory Cuyjet	USACE-CT	<a href="mailto:Gregory.g.cuyjet@usace.army.mil">Gregory.g.cuyjet@usace.army.mil</a>
Kally Eastman	USACE-CT	<a href="mailto:Kally.I.Eastman@usace.army.mil">Kally.I.Eastman@usace.army.mil</a>
Lorraine Lee	USACE-OC	<a href="mailto:Lorraine.c.lee@usace.army.mil">Lorraine.c.lee@usace.army.mil</a>
Edward Lew	USACE-CT	<a href="mailto:Edward.t.lew@usace.army.mil">Edward.t.lew@usace.army.mil</a>
Jenine Gallo	USACE-PL-E	<a href="mailto:Jenine.gallo@usace.army.mil">Jenine.gallo@usace.army.mil</a>
Bonnie Hulkower	USACE-PL-E	<a href="mailto:Bonnie.hulkower@usace.army.mil">Bonnie.hulkower@usace.army.mil</a>
Peter Dunlop	PANYNJ	<a href="mailto:pdunlop@panynj.gov">pdunlop@panynj.gov</a>
Matt Masters	PANYNJ	<a href="mailto:mmasters@panynj.gov">mmasters@panynj.gov</a>
Norbert Ludwig	PANYNJ	<a href="mailto:nludewig@panynj.gov">nludewig@panynj.gov</a>
Steve Dorrlor	PANYNJ	<a href="mailto:sdorrlor@panynj.gov">sdorrlor@panynj.gov</a>
Rene Barrios	PANYNJ	<a href="mailto:rbarrios@panynj.gov">rbarrios@panynj.gov</a>
Rachel White	EPA	<a href="mailto:White.Rachel@epa.gov">White.Rachel@epa.gov</a>
Mike Moltzen	EPA	<a href="mailto:Moltzen.Michael@epa.gov">Moltzen.Michael@epa.gov</a>
Mark Simon	NYC-DOT	<a href="mailto:msimon@dot.nyc.gov">msimon@dot.nyc.gov</a>
Mike Mahoney	Bean	<a href="mailto:mmahoney@cfbean.com">mmahoney@cfbean.com</a>
James Thomas	Bean	<a href="mailto:jthomas@cfbean.com">jthomas@cfbean.com</a>
Frank Belesimo	Bean	<a href="mailto:fbelesimo@cfbean.com">fbelesimo@cfbean.com</a>
Thomas D. Witte	DonJon Marine Co.	<a href="mailto:donjontdw@aol.com">donjontdw@aol.com</a>
Dave Beck	Jay Cashman, Inc.	<a href="mailto:dbeck@jaycashman.com">dbeck@jaycashman.com</a>
Steve Radel	Jay Cashman, Inc.	<a href="mailto:sradel@jaycashman.com">sradel@jaycashman.com</a>
Jim Galli	Jay Cashman, Inc.	<a href="mailto:Jgalli@jaycashman.com">Jgalli@jaycashman.com</a>
Chris Gunsten	Great Lakes D&D	<a href="mailto:cgunsten@gldd.com">cgunsten@gldd.com</a>
Jim McNally	Great Lakes D&D	<a href="mailto:jmcnally@gldd.com">jmcnally@gldd.com</a>
Richard J. Steady	Weeks	<a href="mailto:risteady@weeksmarine.com">risteady@weeksmarine.com</a>
Richard Craig	Weston	<a href="mailto:r.craig@westonsolutions.com">r.craig@westonsolutions.com</a>
Mark Jaworski	Weston	<a href="mailto:Mark.jaworski@westonsolutions.com">Mark.jaworski@westonsolutions.com</a>

## SECTION 00800 - SPECIAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS

The following have been added by full text:

WAGE RATE DETERMINATION (SUPP)

GENERAL DECISION: **NJ20030006** 12/03/2004 NJ6

Date: December 3, 2004

General Decision Number: **NJ20030006** 12/03/2004

State: New Jersey

Construction Types: Heavy Dredging nj12/3/04

Counties: Atlantic, Bergen, Camden, Cape May, Cumberland, Gloucester, Hudson, Mercer, Middlesex, Monmouth, Ocean, Salem and Union Counties in New Jersey.

STATEWIDE

All dredging except self-propelled hopper dredging on the Atlantic Coast and tributary waters emptying into the Atlantic Ocean

Modification Number      Publication Date

0	06/13/2003
1	05/21/2004
2	12/03/2004

\* ENGI0025-001 10/01/2004

STATEWIDE

	Rates	Fringes
Company Lead Dredgeman		
Lead Dredgeman.....	\$ 29.37	7.35+a+b
Dipper & Clamshell Dredge		
Boat Captain.....	\$ 23.45	6.75+a+b
Boat Master.....	\$ 24.54	7.35+a+b
Deckhand, Tug Deckhand.....	\$ 19.63	6.15+a+b
Engineer.....	\$ 25.77	7.35+a+b
Maintenance Engineer.....	\$ 24.89	6.75+a+b
Mate.....	\$ 23.29	6.75+a+b
Oiler.....	\$ 19.69	6.15+a+b
Operator.....	\$ 29.37	7.35+a+b
Scowman.....	\$ 19.18	6.15+a+b
Welder.....	\$ 24.52	6.75+a+b
Diver		

Diver.....	\$ 41.53	6.87+a+b
Standby Diver.....	\$ 27.85	6.87+a+b
Standby Tender.....	\$ 23.26	6.27+a+b
Tender.....	\$ 32.11	6.87+a+b
Drag Bucket Dredge		
Deckhand.....	\$ 16.17	5.25+a+b
Engineer.....	\$ 21.41	6.45+a+b
Maintenance Engineer.....	\$ 21.21	5.85+a+b
Mate.....	\$ 19.82	5.85+a+b
Operator.....	\$ 25.09	6.45+a+b
Dredging Pipeline Cable-Laying		
Control Tower Operator.....	\$ 25.55	6.87+a+b
Diver Tender.....	\$ 26.58	6.87+a+b
Diver.....	\$ 42.42	6.87+a+b
Leverman.....	\$ 28.83	6.87+a+b
Line up Operator, End Prep..	\$ 18.47	5.67+a+b
Rigger.....	\$ 19.07	5.67+a+b
Drill Boats		
Blaster.....	\$ 23.81	6.45+a+b
Core Driller.....	\$ 19.00	5.25+a+b
Driller.....	\$ 23.55	6.45+a+b
Engineer.....	\$ 23.54	6.45+a+b
Machinist.....	\$ 23.30	5.85+a+b
Oiler.....	\$ 20.46	5.25+a+b
Tug Captain.....	\$ 19.53	5.85+a+b
Tug Deckhand.....	\$ 15.97	5.25+a+b
Tug Master.....	\$ 20.48	6.45+a+b
Welder.....	\$ 23.30	5.85+a+b
Engineer		
1st.....	\$ 25.55	6.87+a+b
2nd, 3rd and 4th.....	\$ 25.23	6.87+a+b
Electrician.....	\$ 25.68	6.87+a+b
Electro Hydro Technician....	\$ 20.79	6.27+a+b
Tug Captain.....	\$ 23.44	6.87+a+b
Tug Master.....	\$ 26.80	6.87+a+b
Hydraulic Dredge		
Asst. Fill Placer.....	\$ 23.10	7.35+a+b
Boat Captain.....	\$ 23.45	6.75+a+b
Boat Master.....	\$ 24.53	7.35+a+b
Chief Mate.....	\$ 25.12	7.35+a+b
Chief Welder.....	\$ 25.78	7.35+a+b
Deckhand.....	\$ 19.63	6.15+a+b
Engineer.....	\$ 25.22	7.35+a+b
Fill Placer.....	\$ 25.12	7.35+a+b
Janitor/Porter.....	\$ 18.79	6.15+a+b
Leverman.....	\$ 29.37	7.35+a+b
Maintenance Engineer.....	\$ 24.89	6.75+a+b
Mate.....	\$ 23.29	6.75+a+b
Messman.....	\$ 18.79	6.15+a+b
Night Cook.....	\$ 19.30	6.15+a+b
Oiler.....	\$ 19.69	6.15+a+b
Shoreman.....	\$ 19.20	6.15+a+b
Spider Barge Operator.....	\$ 24.31	6.75+a+b
Steward.....	\$ 23.24	7.35+a+b
Welder-Dredge.....	\$ 24.51	6.75+a+b

Tug Boats over 1000 H.P.

with master or captain  
having license endorsed  
for 200 miles off shore

Tug Captain.....	\$ 24.99	7.35+a+b
Tug Chief Engineer.....	\$ 24.25	6.75+a+b
Tug Deckhand.....	\$ 19.63	6.15+a+b
Tug Engineer.....	\$ 23.78	6.75+a+b

PREMIUMS: Additional 20% for hazardous material work

FOOTNOTES APPLICABLE TO ABOVE CRAFTS:

a. PAID HOLIDAYS: New Year's Day, Martin Luther King, Jr.'s Birthday, Memorial Day, Good Friday, Independence Day, Labor Day, Veterans' Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day

b. VACATION: Seven percent (7%) of the straight time rate multiplied by the total hours worked.

WELDERS - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.

Unlisted classifications needed for work not included within the scope of the classifications listed may be added after award only as provided in the labor standards contract clauses (29CFR 5.5 (a) (1) (ii)).

In the listing above, the "SU" designation means that rates listed under the identifier do not reflect collectively bargained wage and fringe benefit rates. Other designations indicate unions whose rates have been determined to be prevailing.

#### WAGE DETERMINATION APPEALS PROCESS

1.) Has there been an initial decision in the matter? This can be:

- \* an existing published wage determination
- \* a survey underlying a wage determination
- \* a Wage and Hour Division letter setting forth a position on a wage determination matter
- \* a conformance (additional classification and rate) ruling

On survey related matters, initial contact, including requests for summaries of surveys, should be with the Wage and Hour Regional Office for the area in which the survey was conducted because those Regional Offices have responsibility for the

Davis-Bacon survey program. If the response from this initial contact is not satisfactory, then the process described in 2.) and 3.) should be followed.

With regard to any other matter not yet ripe for the formal process described here, initial contact should be with the Branch of Construction Wage Determinations. Write to:

Branch of Construction Wage Determinations  
Wage and Hour Division  
U.S. Department of Labor  
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20210

2.) If the answer to the question in 1.) is yes, then an interested party (those affected by the action) can request review and reconsideration from the Wage and Hour Administrator (See 29 CFR Part 1.8 and 29 CFR Part 7). Write to:

Wage and Hour Administrator  
U.S. Department of Labor  
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20210

The request should be accompanied by a full statement of the interested party's position and by any information (wage payment data, project description, area practice material, etc.) that the requestor considers relevant to the issue.

3.) If the decision of the Administrator is not favorable, an interested party may appeal directly to the Administrative Review Board (formerly the Wage Appeals Board). Write to:

Administrative Review Board  
U.S. Department of Labor  
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20210

4.) All decisions by the Administrative Review Board are final.

=====

END OF GENERAL DECISION

(End of Summary of Changes)